

# Minutes of the May 9, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura

IBM: Emily Jiang, Neil Patterson, Alasdair Nottingham

Oracle: Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov

Payara: Steve Millidge

Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez

Enterprise Member representative (Primeton): not present

Enterprise Member Representative (Microsoft): Reza Rahman, Ed Burns (alternate)

Participant member representative (LJC): not present

We have quorum.

Eclipse: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck, Ivar Grimstad

## Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

The [Draft Minutes of the April 11, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting](#) were approved.

The [Draft Minutes of the April 25, 2023 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting](#) will be reviewed next time.

## Objectives

- Review Q1 report
  - [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kbs2Th0si6ZTUhTPbeDSmv8qFNzfb1JDYqPHp-l\\_RqE/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74\\_0\\_757](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Kbs2Th0si6ZTUhTPbeDSmv8qFNzfb1JDYqPHp-l_RqE/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74_0_757)
  - The majority of meeting time was spent reviewing the above report
  - The Q1 report was accepted as drafted
  - Thank you Tanja for your effort on this report
- Discuss Q2-Q3 objectives
  - Ed Burns will consider adding relevant Q3 objectives
  - Committee members in general are encouraged to identify meaningful objectives

## Jakarta EE Developer Survey

- Survey closes May 25.

- Suggested (do not require) that Marketing Committee consider potentially extending the data is required to hit the target.
- See [schedule](#).
- See the link above for language specific URLs and social kit to promote the survey
- Tracking results published here (1463 surveys completed as of May 9, up from 1358 last time):  
[https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18sRRewEVmcczu2h\\_OByGxqD2H9Q18I0E0UJbLFZXHlw/edit#gid=213240262](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18sRRewEVmcczu2h_OByGxqD2H9Q18I0E0UJbLFZXHlw/edit#gid=213240262)
- Shabnam will update [2023 Jakarta EE Developer Survey Report Timeline](#) weekly.
- Exceeding the number of responses from last year (1400).
- Seeing 100% completion rate.
- Members please use links in the tracking doc above

### **EclipseCon 2023 - Ludwigsburg, Germany | October 16 - 19, 2023**

- [EclipseCon CfP](#) is open
  - Members encouraged to submit by June 16
- EclipseCon: Community Day for Java Developers - October 16
  - Collaborating with iJUG to expand the reach to local java developer community
  - They will be heavily involved in the organization of the event, and the program will be created by inviting speakers and also have CfP
  - Including other Java related projects, like Dev Tools, Adoptium
  - Topics that would be good to be covered from the WG members if there is interest are
    - How to get up to date with my existing application (x → EE 10)?
    - How do Jakarta EE specs influence other frameworks (e.g. Spring, Micronaut, Quarkus, Helidon ...)?
    - Please let Tanja know if there is interest to cover these topics by any of our members

### **JakartaOne Livestream, Dec 5th - Program Committee selected**

- Mala Gupta
- Tobias Frech
- Abraham Marin-Perez
- Edwin Derks
- Michael Redlich
- [Tanja has sent a note to Dmitry Aleksandrov as well]
- Tanja will send out a note offering vendor presentations

### **Deprecation and backwards compatibility policy (Abraham)**

- The following topic was added to the Steering Committee meeting agenda on March 28

- In the last Spec Committee meeting there was a conversation about backwards-compatibility and deprecation. The conclusion was that this was probably a steering conversation. Essentially, the crux of the matter is that the [Compatibility Requirements](#) page talks about deprecating methods, but it doesn't seem to allow making backwards-incompatible changes; this is a bit odd because that would imply that one can deprecate a method but never remove it! Also, there are specs (like CDI) which have made backwards-incompatible changes, so in theory we've got specs that have violated these requirements.
- Paul summarized the Spec Committee discussion
  - The Spec Committee has recognized that the actual level of compatibility being provided is not completely consistent with our published [Compatibility Requirements](#).
  - The Spec Committee is in the process of refining these requirements at a detailed level.
  - When this work results in a detailed proposal it will be brought to the Steering Committee for review.
  - There is no specific target or deadline at this time.
  - We will keep this on the Steering Committee agenda for tracking purposes and awareness.
- This topic is still a work in progress
  - Steering Committee review is not required at this time